

XX. DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL WELFARE AND DEVELOPMENT

A. OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

MANDATE

1. Provide assistance to local government units (LGUs), non-government organizations (NGOs), other national government agencies (NGAs), people's organizations (POs) and other members of Civil Society in effectively implementing programs, projects, and services that will alleviate poverty and empower disadvantaged individuals, families and communities for an improved quality of life;
2. Implement statutory and specialized programs which are directly lodged with the Department.

VISION

We envision a society where the poor, vulnerable and disadvantaged are empowered for an improved quality of life. Towards this end, DSWD will be the world's standard for the delivery of coordinated social services and social protection for poverty reduction by 2030.

MISSION

To develop, implement and coordinate social protection and poverty reduction solutions for and with the poor, vulnerable and disadvantaged.

KEY RESULT AREAS

1. Poverty reduction and empowerment of the poor and vulnerable
2. Just and lasting peace and the rule of law
3. Integrity of the environment and climate change adaptation and mitigation

SECTOR OUTCOME

Improved capacity and increased opportunities for the poor, vulnerable and the disadvantaged sector

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

1. Well-being of poor families improved
2. Rights of vulnerable sector promoted
3. Services of licensed private social welfare agencies improved
4. Delivery of coordinated social welfare programs by the Local Government Unit improved

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

KEY STRATEGIES

1. Advocacy - involves setting the environment for the effective engagement of various stakeholders and intermediaries towards an active participation to social protection issues and actions.

This includes:

- a. Implementation of an advocacy plan to support the Department's legislative agenda in coordination with the offices / bureaus / services / units (OBSUs) and field offices and concerned stakeholders; and
- b. Monitoring and lobbying for the immediate approval and enactment of SWD priority legislative measures including the SWDAs Act, the Public Solicitation Act and the Philippine Adoption Code.
2. Convergence - is a strategy of pooling together the efforts and resources from various stakeholders - government, private sector, non-government and people's organizations and other members of civil society to address the needs of the poor and the disadvantaged. Specifically, the Department seeks to:
 - a. Operationalize convergence of DSWD poverty reduction programs (Tatsulo) and protective services, i.e. Social Pension, Supplementary Feeding, Government Internship Program for Youth (GIP) and other center-based and community-based programs; and
 - b. Explore the expansion of Sustaining Interventions in Poverty Alleviation and Governance (SIPAG) Project in the case management of Pantawid Pamilya households.

3. Multi-sectoral approach to deliver basic social services to the poor - is the creation of opportunities for partnerships with other sectors. This would involve:
 - a. Expanding public-private partnerships through the BANTAY, TULAY, GABAY and KAAGAPAY framework in the implementation of social protection programs;
 - b. Enhancing capacity to generate and manage technical assistance (TA) and other resources covered by loans, grants and special agreements; and
 - c. Facilitation of access to TA grants in support of core social protection programs.
4. Capacity Building - involves provision of the technical assistance or resource augmentation to different stakeholders / intermediaries such as local government units, non government organizations and people's organizations to improve the implementation of social protection programs and projects.
Specifically, it will be done through:
 - a. Continuous capacity building program for Municipal Social Welfare and Development Officers (MSWDOs) in convergence areas.
5. Improving Delivery System and Capacities - involves continuing improvement of the knowledge management system and management information of the Department for easy access by partners and intermediaries. This would include the following:
 - a. Institutionalization of the Unified Results Based Monitoring and Evaluation System that will keep track of the progress and evaluate the Department's performance in achieving its targets;
 - b. Development of feedback systems for intermediaries, CSOs and legislators in developing services related to policy, technical assistance and resource augmentation;
 - c. Maintenance of reliable and comprehensive data in all sectors (trafficked persons, children in conflict with the law (CICL), persons with disabilities (PWD) and senior citizens); and
 - d. Fully implement an integrated and interoperable information and system for frontline and back office support services and provide greater access to our partners and publics to the vital data and information they need.
6. Quality Management System - Maintain and sustain the established Quality Management System (QMS) ISO Certified (9001: 2008) in the provision of regulatory services of the DSWD Specifically the frontline services of the Department such as registration, licensing and accreditation of SWDAs.
7. Performance Governance System - Balanced Scorecard

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (Oos) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	BASELINE	2016 TARGETS
Well-being of poor families improved		
% of Pantawid Pamilya families uplifted from survival to subsistence	4.3M families	1 million families
% of Pantawid Pamilya families uplifted from subsistence to self-sufficiency	4.3M families	150,000 families
% of poor families provided with two (2) or more Social Welfare and Development (SWD) services	5.2M identified poor families	90%
Rights of vulnerable sector promoted		
% of malnourished children in Day Care Centers with improved weight	Absolute targets will be determined upon the first weigh-in of all day care children in 2015	90%
% of clients in residential and non-residential care facilities rehabilitated	19,510 clients	30% (5,853 clients)
Services of licensed private social welfare agencies improved		
% of licensed private social welfare agencies (SWAs) with accreditation increased	68 accredited SWAs	10% (75 SWAs)
% of accredited private social welfare agencies under Level 1 move to Level 2	68 SWAs	5% (3 SWAs)
% of Accredited LGU-managed facilities increased	Residential Facilities (RFs) - 8	30 % RFs - 10

Senior Citizens Centers (SCCs) - SCCs - 57
44

Day Care Centers (DCCs) - 5,838 DCCs - 7,589

Delivery of coordinated social welfare programs by the Local
Government Unit improved

% of LGUs with fully-functional Local Social Welfare
Development Offices (LSWDOs)

Municipalities - 1,490

50% Cities and Municipalities
(818)

Cities - 144

50% Provinces (41)

Province - 81

MAJOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)

2016 Targets

MFO 1: SOCIAL PROTECTION POLICY SERVICES

Average % of Members of Congress, intermediaries and other stakeholders that rate policies as good or better	90%
No. of policies updated, issued and disseminated	28
% of policies that are updated, issued and disseminated in the last three (3) years	98%

MFO 2: SOCIAL PROTECTION SERVICES

No. of persons provided with residential care	18,168
No. of individuals assisted - (non-residential)	3,501,768
No. of families assisted - (non-residential)	384,622
% of assisted persons for the last three (3) years who were found ineligible (no more than averaged 5%)	5%
% of applications for non-residential assistance that are processed within 24 hours.	92%
% of applications for residential assistance that are processed within 24 hours.	100%
Pantawid Pamilyang Pilipino Program (Conditional Cash Transfer)	
No. of household beneficiaries-Regular CCT	4,402,253
No. of household beneficiaries - Modified CCT	218,377
No. of children beneficiaries - CCT Extended Coverage until High School	1,153,020
Supplementary Feeding Program	
No. of Day Care children provided with supplementary feeding	2,231,361
Recovery and Reintegration Program for Trafficked Persons	
No. of trafficked person assisted	1,800
Social Pension for Indigent Senior Citizens	
No. of indigent senior citizens with social pension for ages 60 years old and above	1,368,941
Sustainable Livelihood Program	
No. of families to be served thru microenterprise development	170,470
No. of families facilitated for employment	208,352

MFO 3: CAPACITY BUILDING SERVICES

PI Set Description	
No. of persons provided with training services	7,892
% of trainees who rate training courses as good or better	90%
% of training courses completed as designed	100%
PI Set Description	
No. of LGUs and other intermediaries provided with technical assistance	811
% of intermediaries who rate assistance as good or better	91%
% of technical services provided within X days of request	96%
PI Set Description	
No. of LGUs and other intermediaries provided with resource augmentation	658
% of recipients who rate assistance as good or better	90%
% of resource augmentation initiative request acted upon within three (3) days	90%

MFO 4: REGULATORY SERVICES

PI Set Description

No. of social welfare and development agencies and service providers licensed or accredited	5,794
% of licensed and accredited SWDAs/service providers with a recorded violation within the last two (2) years	100%complaints acted upon
% of licenses issued in 15 days or less from receipt of compliant application	100%

PI Set Description

No. of violations/complaints received	1
No. of persons and entities with 2 or more recorded violations/complaints in the last three (3) years as a % of total number of violators in the last (3) years	100%complaints acted upon
% of detected violations/complaints that are resolved following due process within seven (7) working days	100%complaints acted upon

B. COUNCIL FOR THE WELFARE OF CHILDREN

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

MANDATE

The Council for the Welfare of Children (CWC) formulates and evaluates policies, coordinates and monitors the enforcement of all laws and the implementation of programs for children.

VISION

The focal government inter-agency body providing dynamic leadership in ensuring a child-friendly and child-sensitive society where every child fully enjoys his/her rights.

MISSION

CWC shall undertake its coordinative role for children through Policy Formulation, Policy Monitoring and Evaluation, Advocacy and Mobilization of Resources, Building Strong Networks, Partnerships and Coordination Mechanism, Institution Building of Partners and Stakeholders.

KEY RESULT AREAS

Poverty reduction and empowerment of the poor and vulnerable

SECTOR OUTCOME

Empowerment of children and increased access to basic services for children through responsive policy environment for children and strengthened capacity of intermediaries

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

Coordination of government actions for the fulfillment of the rights of children

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

KEY STRATEGIES

1. Policy development
2. Research and development
3. Advocacy
4. Capacity building
5. Plan and network development

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (Oos) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	BASELINE	2016 TARGETS
Coordination of government actions for the fulfillment of the rights of children		
Percentage of resolutions adopted and implemented by member agencies	10% increase from previous year's accomplishment	>10% by 2016
Percentage of member agencies meeting their commitments to the achievement of the goals and targets in the National Plan of Action for Children	nine (9) member agencies	100%
Percentage increase in LGUs enrolled in the Community of Practice for Child Friendly Local Governance with functional Local Councils for the Protection of Children	2014-934 LGUs 2015-981 LGUs	>5% increase from the previous year's target (981 to 1,030)

MAJOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)	2016 Targets
MFO 1: CHILD WELFARE POLICY SERVICES	
PI Set Description	
No. of national plans and policies updated, issued and disseminated	13
Average % of national plans and policies rated by stakeholders as good or better	85%
Average % of plans and policies reviewed within the last three (3) years	80%

C. INTER-COUNTRY ADOPTION BOARD

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

MANDATE

The Inter-Country Adoption Board is the agency of the National Government mandated to act as the Central Authority in matters relating to inter-country adoption and the policy making body for purposes of carrying out the provisions of the Inter-Country Adoption Act of 1995 (R.A. No. 8043).

VISION

Envision a Central Authority that is on the cutting edge of organizational development led by a multi-disciplinary team of experts and competent staff who proactively respond to the demands of international adoption. We endeavour to strengthen our advocacy efforts towards the development of sound policies and practices in adoption and child protection with the help of current knowledge based on high quality research and best practice models. We are devoted to nurturing meaningful partnership with our various stakeholders, applying universally acceptable principles of effective communication, efficient networking and deep cross-cultural sensitivity. We are committed to applying with all regulatory requirements affecting our facilities. Our organizational efficiency is enhanced by the use of the state-of-the-art equipment and technology. As we work towards our mandate, mission, and vision, we become a model for government agencies and for sending countries.

MISSION

To place Filipino children with suitable foreign adoptive families abroad to ensure the protection, security, and best interests of the children.

KEY RESULT AREAS

Poverty reduction and empowerment of the poor and vulnerable

SECTOR OUTCOME

Improved capacity and increased opportunities for the poor, vulnerable, and disadvantaged sector

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

Filipino children in suitable permanent adoptive families abroad protected and secured

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

KEY STRATEGIES

1. Research and formulation of policies on inter-country adoption
2. Standard setting, accreditations and compliance monitoring
3. Placement services
4. Capability and advocacy building

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)BASELINE2016 TARGETS

Filipino children in suitable permanent adoptive families
abroad protected and secured

- | | | |
|---|--------------|---|
| 1. Percentage (%) of children entrusted in 2014 with finalized adoption | 414 children | 85% of children entrusted with completed post placement supervision and with Adoption Decree issued (15%) are still under post placement supervision due to some Receiving Countries requiring 1-2 years of supervision prior to finalization of adoption |
| 2. Percentage (%) decrease in disruption cases | 414 children | Lower by 3% incidence of disruption placement |

MAJOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)2016 Targets

MFO 1: REGULATION OF FOREIGN ADOPTION

No. of new accreditations and re-accreditations applications processed	12
No. of accredited agencies with one or more reported violations over the last 3 years	1
No. of accredited agencies who have been subjected to inspection and compliance audit in the last 3 years	55

MFO 2: ENTRUSTMENT SERVICES

No. of ICA cleared children entrusted to adoptive parents	330
% of the number of adoption placement that suffer from disruption	3%
% of ICA cleared children matched within 10 days from receipt of ICA clearance and child's dossier	90%

D. NATIONAL COUNCIL ON DISABILITY AFFAIRS

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

MANDATE

The National Council on Disability Affairs (NCDA) is mandated to be the government policy-making, planning, monitoring and advocating body for the prevention of the causes of disability, rehabilitation and equalization of opportunities in the concept of a rights-based society and lead in the implementation of programs and projects for persons with disabilities.

VISION

All persons with disabilities are able to attain their fullest potential and to become active contributors and participants in nation-building.

MISSION

To provide direction, coordinate and monitor the activities of government, non-government, and people's organizations involved in the prevention of the causes of disability, rehabilitation and equalization of opportunities in partnership with persons with disabilities.

KEY RESULT AREAS

Poverty reduction and empowerment of the poor and vulnerable

SECTOR OUTCOME

Improved capacity and increased opportunities of persons with disabilities' access in all aspects of development

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

Coordination of government actions for the rights of persons with disabilities improved

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

KEY STRATEGIES

Policy and plan formulation, program development, research and data banking, monitoring and evaluation and advocacy to mainstream disability inclusive development program including provision of capacity building, financial assistance and assistive devices to all LGUs, NGAs, DPOs, NGOs and persons with disabilities

<u>ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)</u>	<u>BASELINE</u>	<u>2016 TARGETS</u>
Coordination of government actions for the rights of persons with disabilities improved		
Percentage of resolutions, programs and plans adopted and implemented by member agencies	19 member agencies	50% of resolutions, programs and plans shall be adopted and implemented
Percentage of accomplishment of agencies' commitments to Philippine Decade Plan	12 national member agencies	90% Accomplishment of agencies' commitments to Philippine Decade Plan
Percentage increase in LGUs with programs on Persons with Disabilities	205 LGUs	37% increase in LGUs, NGAs and NGOs with improved programs for Persons with Disabilities (75 LGUs)
<u>MAJOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)</u>		<u>2016 Targets</u>

MFO 1: POLICY ADVISORY SERVICES ON DISABILITY AFFAIRS

Average percentage of stakeholders who rate the policy, plan and program as good or better	70%
% of national policies and plans updated, issued and disseminated in the last three years	70%
No. of national policies, plans and programs updated, issued and disseminated	28

E. NATIONAL YOUTH COMMISSION

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

MANDATE

The National Youth Commission is the policy-making coordinating body of all youth-related institutions, programs, projects, and activities of the government.

VISION

The National Youth Commission aspires for an enabled, involved and patriotic youth realizing their aspirations; to this end, NYC envisions itself as the voice and advocate of the youth.

MISSION

To promote sustainable developmental policies and programs for and with the Filipino Youth.

As a youth-centered agency:

- we advocate policies on youth protection and participation;
- we build partnerships and networks; and
- we foster youth participation in community development and good governance.

KEY RESULT AREAS

Anti-Poverty and Empowerment of the Poor and Vulnerable

SECTOR OUTCOME

1. Increased contribution of, and benefit for the youth in the attainment of MDGs.
2. Improved enabling conditions for youth participation in governance, society and development.
3. Improved social protection through enabling policies and programs.

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

Coordination of government actions for the development of the youth improved

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

KEY STRATEGIES

1. Policy advocacy
2. Partnership-building through programs, inter-agency support, media
3. Research
4. Provision of technical assistance / support
5. Communication, media, publicity
6. Institutional capacity

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (Oos) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)BASELINE2016 TARGETS

Coordination of government actions for the development of the youth improved

Percentage of youth aged 15-30 who have registered for 2016 National and Local Elections

Subject for special run by COMELEC

10 % increase in 2016 compared to 2013 (subject to availability from COMELEC)

Percentage of accomplishment of agencies' commitment to the Philippine Youth Development Plan	3% (or 1 national government agency)	2015: 20% accomplished (or 7 national government agencies) 2016: 30% accomplished (or 11 of the total 36 national government agencies)
Percentage increase in LGUs with Local Youth Development Plan	8% (or 6 provinces and 3 highly-urbanized cities)	2015: 20% (or 16 provinces and 7 highly-urbanized cities) 2016: 30% (or 24 of all 80 provinces and 10 of all 33 highly-urbanized cities)
<u>MAJOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)</u>		<u>2016 Targets</u>
MFO 1: YOUTH DEVELOPMENT POLICY ADVISORY AND ADVOCACY SERVICES		
PI Set Description		
No. of policy advisories provided		3
Average % of policy advisory recommendations rated by clients as good or better		80%
% of policy advisories updated within the last 2 years		50%

F. JUVENILE JUSTICE AND WELFARE COUNCIL

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

MANDATE

The Juvenile Justice and Welfare Council is a policy-making, coordinating and monitoring body on the implementation of the Juvenile Justice and Welfare Act, through its members and coordinating agencies. (Revised IRR of RA 9344 as amended by RA 10630)

VISION

A Council leading a society that promotes and protects the rights of children at risk and children in conflict with the law under a restorative justice and welfare system

MISSION

To institutionalize a restorative justice and welfare system for children at risk and children in conflict with the law through the effective implementation of the law and coordination among stakeholders in a protective and enabling environment

KEY RESULT AREAS

Poverty reduction and empowerment of the poor and vulnerable

SECTOR OUTCOME

Prevent children at risk from committing crimes and ensure that children in conflict with the law are rehabilitated and reintegrated with their families and communities

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

Coordination of government actions for the implementation of the juvenile intervention programs and activities improved

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

KEY STRATEGIES

1. Policies, plans and program development
2. Advocacy and social mobilization
3. Research and data management
4. Technical assistance to agencies, LGUs and stakeholders
5. Coordinating, monitoring and evaluation

<u>ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (Oos) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)</u>	<u>BASELINE</u>	<u>2016 TARGETS</u>
Coordination of government actions for the implementation of the juvenile intervention programs and activities improved		
Resolutions brought to the Council versus the resolutions adopted	5	15 resolutions shall be adopted and implemented
Percentage increase in local government units (LGUs) with local juvenile intervention programs and services	Provinces-30; Cities-82; Municipalities-585; Barangays-5, 451	50 % increase in LGUs with juvenile intervention programs integrated in local development plans

<u>MAJOR FINAL OUTPUTS (MFOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)</u>	<u>2016 Targets</u>
MFO 1: RESTORATIVE JUSTICE AND WELFARE POLICY SERVICES FOR CHILDREN AT RISK AND CHILDREN IN CONFLICT WITH THE LAW	
No. of national policies, plans and programs developed, issued, disseminated and updated	30
Average percentage of national plans and policies rated by stakeholders as good or better	75%
Average percentage of plans and policies developed, issued, disseminated and updated in the last three years	25%
No. of LGUs with local juvenile intervention programs	10, 145
Average percentage of local juvenile intervention programs integrated in local development and investment plans	50%
Average percentage of local juvenile intervention programs formulated and implemented in the last three years	10%